arXiv:math-ph/0512090v2 5 Jan 2006

Spectra of Schrödinger operators on equilateral quantum graphs

KONSTANTIN PANKRASHKIN

Institut für Mathematik, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin,
Rudower Chaussee 25, 12489 Berlin, Germany
E-mail: const@mathematik.hu-berlin.de

Abstract

We consider magnetic Schrödinger operators on quantum graphs with identical edges. The spectral problem for the quantum graph is reduced to the discrete magnetic Laplacian on the underlying combinatorial graph and a certain Hill operator. In particular, it is shown that the spectrum on the quantum graph is the preimage of the combinatorial spectrum under a certain entire function. Using this correspondence we show that that the number of gaps in the spectrum of the Schrödinger operators admits an estimate from below in terms of the Hill operator independently of the graph structure.

1 Introduction

Quantum graphs, also known as metric graphs or networks, are one-dimensional singular manifolds together with self-adjoint differential operators on them. Spectral and scattering properties of Schrödinger operators in such structures attract a considerable interest during last years, as they provide relevant models of nanostructures, see e.g. [23–27] for a survey. An important class of quantum graphs consists of graphs having some regular structures; possible example may include trees and periodic configurations, cf. [4, 6, 14, 27, 35] and references therein.

It can be expected that there is a certain relationship between a quantum graph and its combinatorial counterpart. This question was first addressed at the physical level of rigor in [3] and the results are used intensively in the physics literature for the study of network models in the tight-binding approximation, see e.g. [31]. A mathematically rigorous correspondence between solutions of continuous and combinatorial problems was given in [13], but the correspondence between the spectra was possible only in very particular situations.

One may expect that the combinatorial structure of a quantum graph must become especially visible in the case when the quantum graph is equilateral, i.e. consists of identical segments with the same potentials on them. This situation for "free" operators (i.e. without external potentials) was actually considered already

in [3]; a mathematical justification of the correspondence between the spectra for the discrete and continuous Laplacian on a large class of graphs was given in [4,8]. In both cases it appears that the spectrum on the quantum graph essentially consists of the values z such that $\cos\sqrt{z}$ lies in the spectrum of the corresponding discrete (magnetic) Laplacian, which establishes a useful correspondence between the quantum graphs and the well-developed theory of combinatorial graphs, see e.g. [9, 10, 30]. On the other hand, the results obtained for the Laplacian only give no information about the contribution of the local metric properties and external potentials to the spectrum. Up to now, spectra of quantum graphs with external potentials were studied only in rather particular cases, see e.g. [7, 27, 35, 37] and references therein. We describe the spectrum of Schrödinger operators on general equilateral graphs in the present paper.

We emphasize that the construction presented here differs essentially from the constructions of [3, 8, 13] and is based on the Krein technique of abstract self-adjoint boundary value problems [11] similar to the one used in the theory of explicitly solvable models [2, 32]. This permits us to minimize assumptions on the graph and to obtain a rigorous relationship between different types of spectra. For an arbitrary L^2 scalar potential on the edges, arbitrary (not necessary identical) magnetic potentials and δ-type boundary conditions at the vertices we show that, up to a discrete set (the Dirichlet spectrum of the edges), the spectrum a quantum graph Γ with identical edges has the form $\eta^{-1}\big(\sigma(2\Delta_\Gamma)\big)$, where Δ_Γ is the discrete magnetic Laplacian on the combinatorial graph and η is the discriminant (Lyapunov function) of a certain singular Hill operator associated with the edge potential (see section 5). This provides, in particular, a violation of the Bethe-Sommerfeld conjecture, as very general periodic structures appear to have infinitely many gaps. Note that the spectrum of the Hill operator has the form $\eta^{-1}\big([-2,2]\big)$, so our result is a natural extension of this classical situation, as $[-2,2]$ is exactly the spectrum of the doubled one-dimensional discrete Laplacian. In other words, we are able to separate completely the combinatorial and metric properties of quantum graphs with identical edges. In this way we arrive at a new possibility to create gaps in the spectrum by a suitable choice of the scalar potential on the edges, which works independently of graph structure and of the magnetic parameters. Similar effects induced by changing the local geometry of graph are usually referred to as decorations [1, 27] or, in a more abstract framework, models with inner structure [32], so the description of the spectrum obtained looks like "decoration by potentials"; an interesting difference is that the creation of gaps by decoration is possible outside the edge Dirichlet eigenvalues only [27], in contrast to the potential-induced gaps situated exactly around these eigenvalues.

2 Schrödinger operators in equilateral quantum graphs

Let Γ be a countable oriented graph. The sets of the vertices and of the edges of Γ will be denoted by V and E, respectively. We do not exclude multiple edges and

self-loops. For an edge $e \in E$ we denote by $\iota(e)$ its initial vertex and by $\tau(e)$ its terminal vertex. For a vertex v, the number of outgoing edges (outdegree) will be denoted by $\operatorname{outdeg} v$ and the number of ingoing edges (indegree) will be denoted by $\operatorname{indeg} v$. The degree of v is $\deg v = \operatorname{indeg} v + \operatorname{outdeg} v$. In what follows we assume that the degrees of the vertices of Γ are bounded, $1 \le \deg v \le N$ for all $v \in V$, in particular, there are no isolated vertices. Note that each self-loop at v counts in both $\operatorname{indeg} v$ and $\operatorname{outdeg} v$.

We introduce the discrete Hilbert space $l^2(\Gamma)$ consisting of functions on V which are summable with respect to the weighted scalar product, $\langle f, g\rangle_\Gamma = \sum_{v\in V} \deg v\, \overline{f(v)} g(v)$. As a set, this space coincides with the usual space of square integrable functions on V due to our restrictions on the degrees of the vertices, but has a different Hilbert structure, and we prefer to write $l^2(\Gamma)$ instead of $l^2(V)$ and to use the subindex Γ in the scalar product to avoid confusing.

To each edge $e \in E$ we assign a real number $\beta(e)$ (magnetic flux). One can associate with this function β a discrete magnetic Laplacian in $l^2(\Gamma)$,

$$\Delta_\Gamma h(v) = \frac{1}{\deg v}\Big(\sum_{e:\iota(e)=v} e^{-i\beta(e)} h\big(\tau(e)\big) + \sum_{e:\tau(e)=v} e^{i\beta(e)} h\big(\iota(e)\big)\Big). \tag{1}$$

This expression defines a self-adjoint operator in $l^2(\Gamma)$, and for each $h \in l^2(\Gamma)$ there holds

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta_\Gamma h\|^2_\Gamma &= \sum_{v\in V} \frac{1}{\deg v} \Big| \sum_{e:\iota(e)=v} e^{-i\beta(e)} h\big(\tau(e)\big) + \sum_{e:\tau(e)=v} e^{i\beta(e)} h\big(\iota(e)\big)\Big|^2 \\ &\le \sum_{v\in V} \Big(\sum_{e:\iota(e)=v} \big|h\big(\tau(e)\big)\big|^2 + \sum_{e:\tau(e)=v} \big|h\big(\iota(e)\big)\big|^2\Big) \\ &= \sum_{w\in V} \deg w \,\big|h(w)\big|^2 = \|h\|^2_\Gamma, \end{aligned}$$

i.e. $\|\Delta_\Gamma\| \le 1$.

Now let us start with the construction of the continuous version of Γ. Each edge e of Γ will be identified with segment $[0,1]$, such that 0 is identified with the vertex $\iota(e)$ and 1 is identified with the vertex $\tau(e)$. The topological space obtained is usually called a metric graph associated with Γ.

Magnetic Schrödinger operator in such a structure can be defined following the standard construction of [24, 26]. The phase space of the graph is $\mathscr{H} = \bigoplus_{e\in E} \mathscr{H}_e$, $\mathscr{H}_e = L^2[0,1]$, consisting of functions $f = (f_e)$, $f_e \in \mathscr{H}_e$. On each edge consider the same scalar potential $U \in L^2[0,1]$. We will distinguish between two types of graphs:

(A) Even graphs, in which $\operatorname{indeg} v = \operatorname{outdeg} v$ for all $v \in V$; in this case there are no additional assumptions on U.

(B) All other graphs which do not satisfy (A); here we assume that U is even, $U(x) = U(1-x)$.

Let $a_e \in C^1[0,1]$ be magnetic potentials on the edges, $e \in E$. Associate with each edge a differential expression $L_e := (i\partial + a_e)^2 + U$. The maximal operator which can be associated with these differential expressions acts as $(g_e) \mapsto (L_e g_e)$ on functions $g \in \bigoplus H^2[0,1]$. The integration by parts shows that this operator is not symmetric, and it is necessary to introduce boundary conditions at the vertices to obtain a self-adjoint operator. The standard self-adjoint boundary conditions for magnetic operators are

$$\begin{gathered} g_e(1) = g_b(0) =: g(v) \quad \text{for all } b,e \in E \text{ with } \iota(b) = \tau(e) = v, \\ \sum_{e:\iota(e)=v} \big(g_e'(0) - i a_e(0) g_e(0)\big) - \sum_{e:\tau(e)=v} \big(g_e'(1) - i a_e(1) g_e(1)\big) = \alpha(v) g(v), \end{gathered}$$

where $\alpha(v)$ are real numbers, the so-called coupling constants. The case $\alpha(v) = 0$ is called the Kirchhoff coupling at v. If $a_e \equiv 0$, this case appears, for example, when graphs are considered as the limits of collapsing manifolds [15].

The gauge transformation $g_e(t) = \exp\Big(i\int_0^t a_e(s)ds\Big) f_e(t)$ removes the magnetic potentials from the differential expressions, $\big((i\partial + a_e)^2 + U\big) g_e = -f_e'' + U f_e$, but the magnetic field enters the boundary conditions through the parameters $\beta(e) = \int_0^1 a_e(s)\,ds$ in the following way:

$$e^{i\beta(e)} f_e(1) = f_b(0) =: f(v), \quad \text{for all } b,e \in E \text{ with } \iota(b) = \tau(e) = v, \tag{2a}$$

$$f'(v) := \sum_{e:\iota(e)=v} f_e'(0) - \sum_{e:\tau(e)=v} e^{i\beta(e)} f_e'(1) = \alpha(v) f(v). \tag{2b}$$

The self-adjoint operator on $\mathscr{H}$ acting as $(f_e) \mapsto (-f_e'' + U f_e)$ on functions $(f_e) \in \bigoplus H^2[0,1]$ satisfying the boundary conditions (2a) and (2b) for all $v \in V$ will be denoted by Λ. This is our central object. Our aim is to find a relationship between the properties of the continuous operator Λ in $\mathscr{H}$ and of the discrete operator (1) in $l^2(\Gamma)$.

3 Boundary triples and Krein's resolvent formula

To deal with operators on graphs we describe very briefly some constructions from the abstract theory of boundary value problems; for a detailed discussion we refer to [11, 19].

Let S be a closed linear operator in a Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}$ with the domain $\operatorname{dom} S$. Assume that there exist an auxiliary Hilbert space $\mathscr{G}$ and two linear maps $\pi, \pi' : \operatorname{dom} S \to \mathscr{G}$ such that

- for any $f, g \in \operatorname{dom} S$ there holds $\langle f, Sg\rangle - \langle Sf, g\rangle = \langle \pi f, \pi' g\rangle - \langle \pi' f, \pi g\rangle$,
- the map $(\pi, \pi') : \operatorname{dom} S \to \mathscr{G} \oplus \mathscr{G}$ is surjective,
- the set $\ker(\pi, \pi')$ is dense in $\mathscr{H}$.

The triple $(\mathscr{G},\pi,\pi')$ with the above properties is called a *boundary triple* for S. If an operator S has a boundary triple, then it has self-adjoint restrictions. For example, if A is a self-adjoint operator in $\mathscr{G}$, then the restriction of S to elements f satisfying abstract boundary conditions $\pi' f = A\pi f$ is a self-adjoint operator in $\mathscr{H}$, which we denote by H_A. Another example is the "distinguished" restriction H corresponding to the boundary conditions $\pi f = 0$. The resolvents of H and H_A as well as their spectral properties are connected by Krein's resolvent formula, which will be described now.

Let $z \notin \sigma(H)$. For $g \in \mathscr{G}$ denote by $\gamma(z)g$ the unique solution to the abstract boundary value problem $(S-z)f = 0$ with $\pi f = g$ (such a solution exists due to the above conditions for π and π'). Clearly, $\gamma(z)$ is a linear map from $\mathscr{G}$ to $\mathscr{H}$ and is an isomorphism between $\mathscr{G}$ and $\ker(S-z)$. Denote also by $M(z)$ the bounded linear operator on $\mathscr{G}$ given by $M(z)g = \pi'\gamma(z)g$; this operator will be referred to as the Weyl function (or the abstract Dirichlet-to-Neumann map) corresponding to the boundary triple $(\mathscr{G},\pi,\pi')$. The operator-valued functions γ and M are analytic outside $\sigma(H)$, and $M(z)$ is self-adjoint for real z. If all these maps are known, one can relate the operators H_A and H as follows, cf. proposition 2 in [11].

Proposition 1 (Krein's resolvent formula). *For $z \notin \sigma(H) \cup \sigma(H_A)$ the operator $M(z) - A$ acting on $\mathscr{G}$ has a bounded inverse defined everywhere, and $(H-z)^{-1} - (H_A - z)^{-1} = \gamma(z)\big(M(z)-A\big)^{-1}\gamma^*(\overline{z})$. In particular, the set $\sigma(H_A) \setminus \sigma(H)$ consists exactly of real numbers z such that $0 \in \sigma\big(M(z)-A\big)$. The same correspondence holds for the point spectra, and γ is an isomorphism of the corresponding eigensubspaces.*

4 Dirichlet-to-Neumann maps and Hill discriminants

Let us describe an important example of a boundary triple and some related constructions which will be actively used below. Let $U \in L^2[0,1]$ be the potential on the graph edges introduced above. In $\mathscr{H} = L^2[0,1]$ consider the operator $S = -\dfrac{d^2}{dt^2} + U$ with $\operatorname{dom} S = H^2[0,1]$, then one can take $\mathscr{G} = \mathbb{C}^2$, $\pi f = \big(f(0), f(1)\big)$, $\pi' f = \big(f'(0), -f'(1)\big)$. Let us calculate the corresponding Weyl function $m(z)$. The distinguished restriction D of S given by $\pi f = 0$ is exactly the Dirichlet realization, $Df = -f'' + Uf$, $\operatorname{dom} D = \{f \in H^2[0,l] : f(0) = f(1) = 0\}$. In what follows we denote the eigenvalues of D by μ_k, $k = 0,1,2\ldots$, $\mu_0 < \mu_1 < \mu_2 < \ldots$.

Let two functions $s, c \in \ker(S-z)$ satisfy $s(0;z) = c'(0;z) = 0$ and $s'(0;z) = c(0;z) = 1$, i.e. s and c are the fundamental solutions of S. For their Wronskian one has $w(z) = s'(x;z)c(x;z) - s(x;z)c'(x;z) \equiv 1$, and s, c as well as their derivatives are entire functions of z. For $z \notin \sigma(D)$ one has $s(1;z) \neq 0$, and any function $f \in \ker(S-z)$ can be written as

$$f(x;z) = \frac{f(1) - f(0)c(1;z)}{s(1;z)}\, s(x;z) + f(0)c(x;z). \tag{3}$$

The calculation of $f'(0)$ and $-f'(1)$ gives

$$\begin{pmatrix} f'(0) \\ -f'(1) \end{pmatrix} = m(z) \begin{pmatrix} f(0) \\ f(1) \end{pmatrix}, \quad m(z) = \frac{1}{s(1;z)} \begin{pmatrix} -c(1;z) & 1 \\ 1 & -s'(1;z) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

Therefore, in this case the Weyl function $m(z)$ is exactly the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map. In can be directly seen that $m(z)$ is real and self-adjoint for real z and has poles at the Dirichlet eigenvalues μ_k.

In what follows we need some properties of the function $\eta(z;\alpha) = s'(1;z) + c(1;z) + \alpha s(1;z)$. This function is closely connected with the operator

$$P_\alpha = -\frac{d^2}{dt^2} + U_p(t) + \alpha \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \delta(t-n), \quad (5)$$

where U_p is the periodic extension of U. The operator P_α is a self-adjoint operator in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ acting as $f \mapsto -f'' + U_p f$ on functions $f \in H^2(\mathbb{R}\setminus\mathbb{Z})$ satisfying the boundary conditions $f(n-) = f(n+) =: f(n)$, $f'(n+) - f'(n-) = \alpha f(n)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ (the operator can be also properly defined by means of quadratic forms). In particular, P_0 is the usual Hill operator. Due to the presence of a periodic δ-potential we refer to P_α as to a Kronig-Penney-type Hamiltonian. Let $z \notin \sigma(D)$. By direct calculations it can be shown that for any function $f \in H^2_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}\setminus\mathbb{Z})$ satisfying the above boundary conditions and such that $-f'' + U_p f = zf$ in $\mathbb{R}\setminus\mathbb{Z}$ there holds

$$\begin{pmatrix} f'(1+) \\ f(1+) \end{pmatrix} = T(z;\alpha) \begin{pmatrix} f'(0+) \\ f(0+) \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$T(z;\alpha) = \begin{pmatrix} s'(1;z) + \alpha s(1;z) & c'(1;z) + \alpha c(1;z) \\ s(1;z) & c(1;z) \end{pmatrix}.$$

The function $\operatorname{tr} T(z;\alpha) \equiv \eta(z;\alpha)$ is called the discriminant or the Lyapunov function of P_α and its properties are well studied, see e.g. [21,28,33]. The spectrum of P_α consists exactly of real z satisfying the inequality $|\eta(z;\alpha)| \le 2$. For the Dirichlet eigenvalues μ_k one has $\eta(\mu_k;\alpha) \le -2$ for even k and $\eta(\mu_k;\alpha) \ge 2$ for odd k. The set $\eta^{-1}\big((-2,2)\big)$ is the union of open intervals (a_k,b_k) with $a_k < b_k \le \mu_k \le a_{k+1}$ for all $k = 0,1,\ldots,$ and the spectrum of P_α is the union of the segments $[a_k,b_k]$ called bands. The derivative η' does not vanish in the intervals (a_k,b_k), and η is a homeomorphism between each band and the segment $[-2,2]$. The intervals $(-\infty,a_0)$ and (b_k,a_{k+1}), if non-empty, are called gaps. If for some k there holds $b_k = \mu_k = a_{k+1}$, then $(b_k,a_{k+1}) = \oslash$, and one says that the gap near μ_k is closed; otherwise, for $b_k < a_{k+1}$, one says that the gap near μ_k is open. If only finitely many gaps of P_0 are open, the potential U is called a finite band potential, otherwise an infinite gap potential. A study of periodic problems with even more general singular potentials can be found, for example, in [22].

5 Relationship between the spectra of Schrödinger operators and discrete Laplacians

To work with the operator Λ using the Krein resolvent formula it is necessary to find a suitable linear operator $\Pi \supset \Lambda$ whose Weyl function has the possibly simplest form. We define such an operator as follows. Set $\operatorname{dom}\Pi = \{f \in \bigoplus H^2[0,1] :$ Eq. (2a) holds$\}$ and $\Pi(f_e) = (-f_e'' + U f_e)$.

Lemma 2. *The operator Π is closed. For $f \in \operatorname{dom}\Pi$ put*

$$\pi f = \big(f(v)\big)_{v\in V}, \quad \pi' f = \Big(\frac{f'(v)}{\deg v}\Big)_{v\in V},$$

where $f(v)$ and $f'(v)$ are given by (2a) *and* (2b)*. The triple $\big(l^2(\Gamma), \pi, \pi'\big)$ is a boundary triple for Π.*

Proof. Denote by L the direct sum of the operators S from section 4 over all edges. Clearly, this operator is closed. On the domain of L consider linear functionals $l_{v,b,e}(f) := e^{i\beta(e)} f_e(1) - f_b(0)$, $\imath(b) = \tau(e) = v$, $v \in V$. These functionals are bounded with respect to the graph norm of L due to the Sobolev embedding, therefore, the restriction of L to the null space of all these functionals is a closed operator. This restriction is exactly the operator Π.

The Sobolev embedding theorem shows that $\pi f, \pi' f \in l^2(\Gamma)$ for any $f \in \operatorname{dom}\Pi$. Let $f, g \in \operatorname{dom}\Pi$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\langle f, \Pi g\rangle - \langle \Pi f, g\rangle &= \sum_{e\in E} \big(\langle f_e'' - U f_e, g_e\rangle - \langle f_e, g_e'' - U g_e\rangle\big) \\ &= \sum_{e\in E} \big(\langle f_e'', g_e\rangle - \langle f_e, g_e''\rangle\big).\end{aligned}$$

Applying the partial integration one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{e\in E} \big(\langle f_e'', g_e\rangle - \langle f_e, g_e''\rangle\big) \\ &\quad= \sum_{e\in E} \Big(\overline{f_e(0)} g_e'(0) - \overline{f_e'(0)} g_e(0) + \overline{f_e'(1)} g_e(1) - \overline{f_e(1)} g_e'(1)\Big) \\ &\qquad= \sum_{v\in V} \Bigg[\sum_{e:\imath(e)=v} \Big(\overline{f_e(0)} g_e'(0) - \overline{f_e'(0)} g_e(0)\Big) \\ &\qquad\quad + \sum_{e:\tau(e)=v} \Big(\overline{f_e'(1)} g_e(1) - \overline{f_e(1)} g_e'(1)\Big)\Bigg] \\ &\qquad= \sum_{v\in V} \Bigg[\sum_{e:\imath(e)=v} \Big(\overline{f(v)} g_e'(0) - \overline{f_e'(0)} g(v)\Big) \\ &\qquad\qquad + \sum_{e:\tau(e)=v} \Big(\overline{f_e'(1)} e^{-i\beta(e)} g(v) - \overline{e^{-i\beta(e)} f(v)} g_e'(1)\Big)\Bigg],\end{aligned}$$

and by regrouping the terms one arrives at

$$\begin{aligned}\langle f,\Pi g\rangle - \langle \Pi f, g\rangle &= \sum_{v\in V}\Big[\overline{f(v)}\,g'(v) - \overline{f'(v)}\,g(v)\Big]\\ &= \sum_{v\in V}\deg v\Big[\overline{f(v)}\,\frac{g'(v)}{\deg v} - \frac{\overline{f'(v)}}{\deg v}\,g(v)\Big] = \langle \pi f,\pi' g\rangle_\Gamma - \langle \pi' f,\pi g\rangle_\Gamma.\end{aligned}$$

To show that the map $(\pi,\pi'):\operatorname{dom}\Pi\to l^2(\Gamma)\oplus l^2(\Gamma)$ is surjective we take arbitrary $h,h'\in l^2(\Gamma)$ and choose functions $f_{jk}\in H^2[0,1]$ with $f_{jk}^{(i)}(l)=\delta_{ij}\delta_{kl}$, $i,j,k,l\in\{0,1\}$. For each $e\in E$ put

$$f_e = h\big(\iota(e)\big)\,f_{00} + e^{-i\beta(e)}h\big(\tau(e)\big)\,f_{01} + h'\big(\iota(e)\big)\,f_{10} - e^{-i\beta(e)}h'\big(\tau(e)\big)\,f_{11}$$

and take $f=(f_e)$. Clearly, $f\in\bigoplus H^2[0,1]$, and at each vertex $v\in V$ one has

$$\begin{gathered}f(v) = f_b(0) = e^{i\beta(e)}\,f_e(1) = h(v),\quad \iota(b)=\tau(e)=v,\\ f'(v) := \sum_{e:\iota(e)=v} h'(v) + \sum_{e:\tau(e)=v} h'(v) = \deg v\,h'(v).\end{gathered}$$

The surjectivity is proved. The space $\ker(\pi,\pi')$ is dense in $\mathscr{H}$ as it contains $\bigoplus C_0^\infty(0,1)$. □

The distinguished restriction Π_0 of Π is the direct sum of the operators D over all edges. In particular, the spectrum of Π_0 coincides with the spectrum of D. Let us calculate the corresponding maps $\gamma(z)$ and $M(z)$.

Lemma 3. *Let $z\notin\sigma(D)$. The map $\gamma(z)$ and the Weyl function $M(z)$ for the operator Π and the boundary triple from lemma 2 have the following form. For each $h\in L^2(\Gamma)$ and each $e\in E$ one has*

$$\big(\gamma(z)h\big)_e(x) = \frac{\big(s(1;z)c(x;z) - s(x;z)c(1;z)\big)h\big(\iota(e)\big) + e^{-i\beta(e)}h\big(\tau(e)\big)}{s(1;z)},\qquad (6)$$

and the Weyl function is

$$M(z) = \frac{1}{2}\big(m_{11}(z)+m_{22}(z)\big)\,\mathrm{id} + m_{12}(z)\Delta_\Gamma,\qquad (7)$$

where Δ_Γ is the discrete magnetic Laplacian (1) *and m_{jk} are the entries of the matrix* (4)*.*

Proof. Take arbitrary $h\in l^2(\Gamma)$ and let $f=(f_e)\in\ker(\Pi-z)$ with $f(v)=h(v)$ for all $v\in V$. Then $-f_e''+Uf_e=zf_e$ for all $e\in E$. The condition (2a) reads as $f_e(0)=h\big(\iota(e)\big)$ and $f_e(1)=e^{-i\beta(e)}h\big(\tau(e)\big)$ for all $e\in E$, and Eq. (3) takes the

form (6). Applying the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map m from (4) to each component f_e one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} f_e'(0) &= m_{11}(z)\, f_e(0) + m_{12}(z)\, f_e(1) \\ &= m_{11}(z) h\big(\iota(v)\big) + m_{12}(z) e^{-i\beta(e)} h\big(\tau(e)\big), \\ -f_e'(1) &= m_{21}(z)\, f_e(0) + m_{22}(z)\, f_e(1) \\ &= m_{21}(z) h\big(\iota(e)\big) + m_{22}(z) e^{-i\beta(e)} h\big(\tau(e)\big), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \big(M(z)h\big)(v) = \frac{f'(v)}{\deg v} &= \frac{1}{\deg v}\Big[\sum_{e:\iota(e)=v} f_e'(0) - \sum_{e:\tau(e)=v} e^{i\beta(e)} f_e'(1)\Big] \\ &= \frac{1}{\deg v}\Big[\operatorname{outdeg} v\, m_{11}(z) h(v) + m_{12}(z) \sum_{e:\iota(e)=v} e^{-i\beta(e)} h\big(\tau(e)\big) \\ &\qquad + \operatorname{indeg} v\, m_{22}(z) h(v) + m_{21}(z) \sum_{e:\,\tau(e)=v} e^{i\beta(e)} h\big(\iota(e)\big)\Big]. \end{aligned}$$

For graphs of type (A) one has $\operatorname{indeg} v = \operatorname{outdeg} v = \frac{1}{2}\deg v$. Taking into account the equality $m_{12}(z) \equiv m_{21}(z)$, see (4), one arrives at (7). For graphs of type (B) there holds $m_{11}(z) = m_{22}(z)$, see for example Lemma 3.1 in [7], and the same calculations work. □

Until now we did not use any specific requirement for the coupling constants $\alpha(v)$ in (2b). In the rest of the paper we assume that

$$\alpha(v) = \frac{1}{2}\deg v\, \alpha, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{8}$$

The boundary condition (2b) takes the form $\pi' f = \dfrac{\alpha}{2}\pi f$.

Lemma 4. *The set* $\Sigma' = \Big\{ z \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \sigma(D) :\ 0 \in \sigma\big(M(z) - \frac{\alpha}{2}\mathrm{id}\big) \Big\}$ *with* $M(z)$ *from* (7) *coincides with* $\eta^{-1}\big(\sigma(2\Delta_\Gamma)\big) \setminus \sigma(D)$ *where* $\eta(z;\alpha)$ *is the discriminant of the Kronig-Penney Hamiltonian* P_α *from* (5).

Proof. Substituting the explicit expressions for m_{jk} from (4) and noting that m_{12} does not vanish, one rewrites the condition $0 \in \sigma\big(M(z)\big)$ as $0 \in \sigma\big(2\Delta_\Gamma - \eta(z;\alpha)\,\mathrm{id}\big)$. □

The following theorem describes the relationship between the spectra of Λ and of Δ_Γ; this is the main result of the work.

Theorem 5. *The spectrum of* Λ *is the union* $\sigma(\Lambda) = \Sigma_0 \cup \Sigma$, *where* $\Sigma_0 \subset \sigma(D)$ *is a discrete set and* $\Sigma = \eta^{-1}\big(\sigma(2\Delta_\Gamma)\big)$, *where* $\eta(z;\alpha)$ *is the discriminant of the Kronig-Penney Hamiltonian* P_α *from* (5). *Moreover, for* $z \notin \sigma(D)$ *the condition* $z \in \sigma_i(\Lambda)$ *is equivalent to* $\eta(z) \in \sigma_i(2\Delta_\Gamma)$, $i \in \{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{pp}, \mathrm{disc}, \mathrm{ess}\}$, *and for* $i = \mathrm{p}$ *the map* γ *from* (6) *is an isomorphism of the corresponding eigensubspaces.*

Proof. This is a direct consequence of proposition 1. The spectrum of Λ outside $\sigma(\Pi_0) \equiv \sigma(D)$ is exactly the set Σ' from lemma 4 and has the requested form. The correspondence between the point spectra $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}(\Lambda)$ and $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}(2\Delta_\Gamma)$ follows also from proposition 1. Moreover, as η is a homeomorphism, it preserves the convergence and isolated points, and γ preserves the multiplicity of eigenvalues. Therefore, the same correspondence holds for $\sigma_{\mathrm{pp}} = \overline{\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}}$ and σ_{disc}, and hence for $\sigma_{\mathrm{ess}} = \sigma \setminus \sigma_{\mathrm{disc}}$. □

The analysis of the relationship between the spectrum of D and the spectrum of the quantum graph in the general situation seems to be very difficult. Nevertheless, some particular situations can be handled. For example, if $\beta(e) \equiv 0$ and the potential U is even, then each loop on Γ supports an eigenfunction composed from the Dirichlet eigenfunctions on each edge of the loop. A more detailed investigation for $U = 0$ can be found e.g. in [8]. In some particular situations similar analysis is possible also for non-vanishing $\beta(e)$, cf. [6].

We emphasize that the operator P_α itself can be considered as the Hamiltonian of a quantum graph whose vertices are elements of $\mathbb{Z}$ with edges between the nearest neighbors. The corresponding discrete Laplacian is $\Delta_\Gamma h(n) = \frac{1}{2}\big(h(n-1) + h(n+1)\big)$ whose spectrum is $[-1,1]$, so $P_\alpha = \eta^{-1}\big([-2,2]\big)$ (as P_α has no eigenvalues). Theorem 5 can be viewed as a generalization of the classical spectral analysis of the Hill equation to general graphs.

6 Spectral gaps

Due to the specific form of the discrete magnetic Laplacian it is rather easy to understand the meaning of the potential U and the coupling constant α from (8) in the creating of gaps in the spectrum of Λ.

Theorem 6. (A) *Each gap of P_α is a gap of Λ, probably with an eigenvalue of D in it, and the number of gaps in the spectrum of Λ is not less than the number of gaps for P_α. In particular, if P_α has infinitely many gaps, then the same holds for Λ.*

(B) *The number of gaps of Λ in each interval (μ_k, μ_{k+1}) coincides with the number of gaps for Δ_Γ. If $\sigma(\Delta_\Gamma) \neq [-1,1]$, then the spectrum of Λ has infinitely many gaps.*

(C) *The spectrum of Λ has band structure if and only if the spectrum of Δ_Γ has band structure.*

(D) *If Λ has only a finite number of gaps, then the same holds for P_α, and $\sigma(\Delta_\Gamma) = [-1,1]$.*

Proof. (A) We have emphasized before in section 4 the equality $\sigma(P_\alpha) = \eta^{-1}([-2,2])$. On the other hand, due to the estimate $\|\Delta_\Gamma(\beta)\|_\Gamma \leq 1$ mentioned above, for the part Σ of the spectrum of Λ one has $\Sigma \equiv \eta^{-1}\big(\sigma(2\Delta_\Gamma)\big) \subset \eta^{-1}([-2,2]) = \sigma(P_\alpha)$ and $\mathbb{R} \setminus \big(\sigma(P_\alpha) \cup \sigma(D)\big) \subset \mathbb{R} \setminus \sigma(\Lambda)$. The part Σ_0 is a discrete set and cannot overlap open gaps of P_α.

(B) The function η is a homeomorphism between each band $[a_k, b_k]$ of P_α and the segment $[-2,2]$ with $\eta(\{a_k, b_k\}) = \{-2,2\}$. Therefore, the sets $\sigma(\Delta_\Gamma)$ and $\sigma(\Lambda) \cap (a_k, b_k) \equiv \eta^{-1}\big(\sigma(2\Delta_\Gamma)\big) \cap (a_k, b_k)$ have equal number of connected components. Each connected component of $[-2,2] \setminus \sigma(2\Delta_\Gamma)$ produces a gap of Λ in each interval (a_k, b_k).

The items (C) and (D) are obvious corollaries of (A) and (B). □

Remark 7. It is worth emphasizing that the above assertions do not make any specific assumptions on the combinatorial structure of the graph Γ. Therefore, it is possible to create gaps of Λ near the Dirichlet eigenvalues of the edges by changing the potential U on each edge and the coupling constant α; the structure of the graph can be ignored. For example, if $U = 0$ and $\alpha \neq 0$, then all gaps of P_α are open, see chapter III.2 in [2]. Another possibility to have gaps near each μ_k is to take a convex U with non-vanishing derivative [18]. If U is piecewise continuous and $\alpha \neq 0$, there are infinitely many gaps too [16].

Remark 8. Although the above construction works in a rather general situation, we emphasize an important particular class of graphs, the so-called graphs of groups [30]. Let G be a finitely generated group and let $(g_1, \dots, g_n)$, $g_j \in G$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, be a minimal generating system of G. Consider the graph $\Gamma(G)$ whose vertices are the elements of G and two vertices $v, w \in G$ are connected by an edge from v to w iff $w = vg_j$ for some j (if $g_k^{-1} = g_k$ for some k, then the graph has multiple edges). The group G acts on the graph by the left translations $g : v \mapsto gv$. The properties of the Laplacian depend on G and can be rather exotic, even the band spectrum can be guaranteed only under additional assumptions like the positivity of the Kadison constant [20, 29, 36]. Nevertheless, theorem 6 holds and shows the location of gaps also in this case.

Consider the simplest case of $G = \mathbb{Z}^n$. As the set of generators take the standard basis $g_j = (\delta_{jk})$, $k = 1, \dots, n$. The corresponding quantum graph is the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ whose nodes v and w are connected iff $|v - w| = 1$ and $v_j \leq w_j$ for all $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Proposition 9. *Let $\Gamma = \Gamma(\mathbb{Z}^n)$, $n \geq 1$, and $\beta(e) = 0$ for all $e \in E$, $j = 1, \dots, n$. The spectrum of Λ is the union $\sigma(P_\alpha) \cup \Sigma_n$, where $\Sigma_1 = \oslash$ and $\Sigma_n = \sigma(D) = \sigma_p(\Lambda)$ for $n \geq 2$. The operator Λ has infinitely many bands if and only if the operator P_α has the same property.*

Proof. The discrete Laplacian in $\mathbb{Z}^d$ is $\Delta_\Gamma h(n) = \dfrac{1}{2n} \sum_{|m-n|=1} h(m)$. The Bloch substitution $h(n) = e^{i\langle n, q\rangle}$, $q = (q_1, \dots, q_n) \in [-\pi, \pi)^n$ reduces Δ_Γ to the multiplication with $\dfrac{1}{n}\big(\cos q_1 + \dots + \cos q_n\big)$; the spectrum is absolutely continuous and covers the segment $[-1,1]$. Therefore, the set $\eta^{-1}\big(\sigma(2\Delta_\Gamma)\big)$ is exactly the spectrum of P_α.

If $n = 1$, the operator Λ is exactly P_α and has no eigenvalues. Let $n \geq 2$, $\mu \in \sigma(D)$, and ϕ be the corresponding eigenfunction of D with $\phi'(0) = 1$. For each

$v \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ consider a function f whose only nonzero components are $f_{(v,v+g_1)} = \phi$, $f_{(v+g_1,v+g_1+g_2)} = \phi'(1)\phi$, $f_{(v,v+g_2)} = -\phi$, and $f_{(v+g_2,v+g_1+g_2)} = -\phi'(1)\phi$, then f is an eigenfunction of Λ. □

The estimate obtained can be considered as a violation of the Bethe-Sommerfeld conjecture if the $\mathbb{Z}^n$-lattice is considered as a multidimensional system. For a large class of multidimensional Schrödinger operators with magnetic fields in $\mathbb{R}^n$ it is proved that there are only finitely many gaps in the spectrum, see e.g. [12, 17, 34] and references therein. In our case, if the operator P_α has infinitely many bands, which is a generic property of one-dimensional operators, then the same holds for the multidimensional lattice even without magnetic fluxes β. Similar effects induced by geometric properties were studied in [5, 14].

Acknowledgments

The work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, the Sonderforschungsbereich "Raum, Zeit, Materie" (SFB 647, Berlin), and the International Bureau of BMBF at the German Aerospace Center (IB DLR, cooperation Germany – New Zealand NZL 05/001).

References

[1] M. Aizenman, J. H. Schenker, *The creation of spectral gaps by graph decoration.* Lett. Math. Phys. **53** (2000) 253–262.

[2] S. Albeverio, F. Gesztesy, R. Høegh-Krohn, and H. Holden, *Solvable models in quantum mechanics.* 2nd ed. (AMS Chelsea Publ., Providence, RI, 2005).

[3] S. Alexander, *Superconductivity of networks. A percolation approach to the effects of disorder.* Phys. Rev. B **27** (1983) 1541–1557.

[4] J. von Below, J. A. Lubary, *The eigenvalues of the Laplacian on locally finite networks.* Results Math. **47** (2005) 199-225.

[5] J. Brüning, V. Geyler, I. Lobanov, *Spectral properties of Schrödinger operators on decorated graphs.* Math. Notes **77**:6 (2005) 858–861.

[6] J. Brüning, V. Geyler, K. Pankrashkin, *Cantor and band spectra for periodic quantum graphs with magnetic fields.* Preprint arXiv.org:math-ph/0511057.

[7] R. Carlson, *Hill's equation on a homogeneous tree.* Electron. J. Differ. Equations **1997**:23 (1997) 1–30.

[8] C. Cattaneo, *The spectrum of the continuous Laplacian on a graph.* Monatsh. Math. **124** (1997) 215–235.

[9] Y. Colin de Verdière, *Spectres de graphes.* SMF, Paris, 1998.

[10] D. Cvetković, M. Doob, H. Sachs, *Spectra of graphs.* VEB, Berlin, 1980.

[11] V. A. Derkach, M. M. Malamud, *Generalized resolvents and the boundary value problems for hermitian operators with gaps.* J. Funct. Anal. **95** (1991) 1–95.

[12] D. M. Elton, *The Bethe-Sommerfeld conjecture for the* 3*-dimensional periodic Landau operator.* Rev. Math. Phys. **16**:10 (2004) 1259–1290.

[13] P. Exner, *A duality between Schrödinger operators on graphs and certain Jacobi matrices.* Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré **66** (1997) 359–371.

[14] P. Exner, R. Gawlista, *Band spectra of rectangular graph superlattices.* Phys. Rev. B **53** (1996) 7275–7286.

[15] P. Exner, O. Post, *Convergence of spectra of graph-like thin manifolds.* J. Geom. Phys. **54** (2005) 77–115.

[16] M. M. Gehtman, I. V. Stankevich, *The generalized Kronig-Penney problem.* Funct. Anal. Appl. **11** (1977) 51–52.

[17] V. A. Geyler, V. A. Margulis, I. I. Chuchaev, *Spectrum structure for the three-dimensional periodic Landau operator.* St. Petersburg Math. J. **8**:3 (1997) 447–461.

[18] V. A. Geyler, M. M. Senatorov, *The structure of the spectrum of the Schrödinger operator with a magnetic field in a strip and finite-gap potentials.* Sb. Math. **188**:5 (1997) 657–669.

[19] V. I. Gorbachuk, M. A. Gorbachuk. *Boundary value problems for operator differential equations* (Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht etc., 1991).

[20] Y. Higuchi, *The spectrum of magnetic Schrödinger operators on a graph with periodic structure.* J. Funct. Anal. **169** (1999) 456–480.

[21] R. O. Hryniv, Ya. V. Mykytyuk, *1D Schrödinger operators with periodic singular potentials.* Methods Funct. Anal. Topology **7**:4 (2001) 31–42.

[22] E. Korotyaev, *Characterization of the spectrum of Schrödinger operators with periodic distributions.* Int. Math. Res. Not. **37** (2003) 2019-2031.

[23] V. Kostrykin, R. Schrader, *Kirchhoff's rule for quantum wires.* J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **32** (1999) 595–630.

[24] V. Kostrykin, R. Schrader, *Quantum wires with magnetic fluxes.* Commun. Math. Phys. **237** (2003) 161–179.

[25] T. Kottos, U. Smilansky, *Periodic orbit theory and spectral statistics for quantum graphs.* Ann. Physics **274**:1 (1999) 76–124.

[26] P. Kuchment, *Quantum graphs* I. *Some basic structures.* Waves Random Media **14** (2004) S107–S128.

[27] P. Kuchment, *Quantum graphs* II. *Some spectral properties of quantum and combinatorial graphs.* J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **38** (2005) 4887–4900.

[28] B. M. Levitan, I. S. Sargsyan, *Sturm-Liouville and Dirac operators.* Kluwer, Dordrecht etc., 1990.

[29] V. Mathai, S. Yates, *Approximating spectral invariants of Harper operators on graphs.* J. Funct. Anal. **188**:1 (2002) 111–136.

[30] B. Mohar, W. Woess, *A survey in spectra of infinite graphs.* Bull. London Math. Soc. **21** (1989) 209–234.

[31] G.-Y. Oh, *Effects of field modulation on Aharonov-Bohm cages in a two-dimensional bipartite periodic lattice.* Phys. Rev. B **62** (2000) 4567-4572.

[32] B. S. Pavlov, *The theory of extensions and explicitly solvable models.* Russ. Math. Surveys **42**:6 (1987) 127–168.

[33] A. M. Savchuk, A. A. Shkalikov, *Sturm-Liouville operators with distribution potentials.* Trans. Moscow Math. Soc. (2003) 143–192.

[34] M. Skriganov, *Geometrical and arithmetical methods in the spectral theory of multi-dimensional periodic operators.* (Proc. Steklov Math. Inst. vol. 171., AMS, Providence, 1987).

[35] M. Solomyak, *On the spectrum of the Laplacian on regular metric trees.* Waves Random Media **14** (2004) S155–S171.

[36] T. Sunada, *A discrete analogue of periodic magnetic Schrödinger operators.* In: *Geometry of the spectrum* (Contemp. Math., vol. 173, AMS, Providence, RI, 1994) 283–299.

[37] C. Texier, G. Montambaux, *Scattering theory on graphs.* J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **34** (2001) 10307–10326.